

TREATED INHERENTLY FLAME RESISTANT POLYESTER FABRICS

Background of the Invention

5 The present invention relates to inherently flame resistant (FR) polyester fabrics and to a system for imparting soil, fluid, or microbial resistance properties to inherently flame resistant fabrics while substantially maintaining the flame resistant properties of the fabric. Inherently flame resistant polyester has been produced for applications where durable flame resistance is required. The flame resistance of the
10 polyester is the result of incorporating an organic phosphorous compound into the polyethylene terephthalate chain during the fiber production process.

 The flame resistant effect of incorporating this copolymer into a fiber results from two physical properties. First, incorporation of the copolymer makes it difficult for combustion to take place because the incorporated copolymer gives the polyester
15 fabric a lower melting point than regular polyester. Thus, the polyester, which is a thermoplastic material, melts and shrinks away from flames. Second, if any burning does take place during the shrinkage from the flame, the phosphorous component prevents the melting drips from burning by influencing the composition of the pyrolysis gases.

20 Since the chemical flammability resistance is built into the polyethylene terephthalate chain, the flammability properties will not wash or dry clean out during laundering as long as proper washing procedures are followed. In contrast, topically applied flame resistant treatments are known to wash off with laundering, resulting in inconsistent flame resistant behavior over the life of the textile. Additionally,
25 topically treated fabrics are susceptible to inconsistency of application of the FR additive, causing variable flammability results.

 Inherently flame resistant polyester fabrics, including knits, wovens, and non-woven fabrics, have found a market in such diverse areas as bedding, draperies, clothing, and particularly for hospitality and medical uses. The inherent FR fabrics
30 used in the medical, hospitality and clothing areas would also benefit from having

additional properties such as soil and stain resistance and/or resistance to the growth of bacteria, fungi, yeast or algae.

- 5 Stain resistance, microbial resistance and water repellency are desirable qualities to have in many textile materials. In restaurants, for example, tablecloths are often subject to rapid water penetration and frequent staining. Hospitals also have a need to prevent staining and microbial growth on their linens, due to appearance and health-related concerns. These properties necessitate frequent cleaning and/or replacement of such items, leading to a loss of time and money.

- 10 The prior art, however, denied these users access to fabrics that are inherently FR and treated to provide these additional desirable properties. Manufacturers of inherently flame resistant fibers have specifically warned against adding chemical treatments to their fabrics because of the potential loss of the flame resistance properties. Kosa, Inc., the manufacturer of the trademarked AVORA™ for flame resistant fibers, warns that acrylic resins, silicone and fluorocarbon compounds should
15 be avoided because of their potential for damaging the inherent flame resistant properties of the AVORA™ fabric. See Kosa, "AVORA™ FR" publication, p.6. Therefore, inherently flame resistant polyester fabrics are sold scoured substantially free from intentional or non-intentional chemical treatments.

- 20 Hospitals and restaurants, in particular, have a need for inherently flame resistant linens in order to provide a safe environment for their patients and patrons. Yet these industries have no way to obtain inherently flame resistant linens with additional chemical treatments for stain resistance, microbial resistance and water repellency.

- 25 Thus, there remains a need for imparting stain, fluid and microbial resistance properties in inherently flame resistant fabric while substantially maintaining the fabric's original flame resistant characteristics.

Summary of the Invention

The present invention provides an a textile article having flame resistant properties comprising a plurality of inherently flame resistant fibers formed into a fabric, and a finish on the fabric, wherein the finish imparts a property selected from the group consisting of: an antimicrobial agent, a soil repellent and a fluid repellent.

Brief Description of the Drawings

Figure 1 is a perspective view of a bed illustrating a bedspread made from fabric according to the present invention.

Figure 2 is a perspective view of a piece of furniture upholstered with a fabric according to the present invention.

Figure 3 is a perspective view of a window illustrating drapery fabric according to the present invention.

Description of the Preferred Embodiments

In the present invention, the inherently flame resistant fiber is first made into a fabric. Any of the known methods of doing so, including weaving, knitting and non-woven fabric formation can be used. Other techniques such as tufting may also be adopted.

Once the fabric is formed, it is scoured prior to the application of the chemical treatments. Scouring removes residual processing aids, which may be present on the textile material, as well as dirt and/or oily materials. The scouring of the textile material to remove any residual textile processing aids, dirt, oil residues, and the like, can be readily accomplished by passing the textile material through an aqueous detergent. After passing the textile material through the aqueous detergent, it should be in order to remove any residual detergent.

The amount of the detergent constituent employed in the aqueous detergent containing solution can vary widely as can the type of detergent. Generally, desirable results can be obtained when the amount of the detergent constituent employed is

from about 0.10 to about 1.0 weight percent, based on the total weight of the detergent solution. The detergent to be employed is typically selected from a group that does not react negatively with the fabric to be scoured or with the fabric's flame resistant properties. Typical examples of suitable detergents that can be employed in the detergent scouring of the textile material, include Solpon 1159, Solpon SPI, and Picoscour Jet.

After the fabric has been scoured and rinsed, the scoured material may then be subjected to a chemical treatment step. The chemical treatment of the present invention comprises exposing the inherently FR textile material to an aqueous solution of a wetting agent and a flame retardant and one or more of: an antimicrobial agent, a water repellent agent, or a soil resistance agent.

While, not wishing to be bound to a particular theory, a flame retardant may be added to the chemical treatment composition to ensure that the inherent flame retardant remains chemically coupled with the polyester fiber. It is thought that the presence of the flame retardant in the chemical coating composition may help to kinetically drive the inherently coupled flame retardant to remain chemically bound within the polyethylene terephthalate chain of the polyester fibers. The flame retardant in the chemical coating composition is preferably the same flame retardant that comprises the inherently flame retardant fabric. Typical examples of suitable flame-retardants include Cyclic Phosphonate, Apex Flameproof #1525, Pyron N-75, and Antiblaze NT. The flame retardant to be added to the chemical treatment preferably comprises about 2% to about 10 % by weight of the chemical treatment composition. More preferably, the flame retardant comprises about 4.8 % by weight of the chemical treatment composition.

The wetting agent of the chemical coating composition reduces the hydrophobicity of the dry fabric and to ensure that the entire fabric is sufficiently contacted with all the chemical treatments. The wetting agent to be added to the chemical treatment preferably comprises between about 0.5% to about 2.0 % by weight of the chemical treatment composition. More preferably, the wetting agent comprises about 0.96 % by weight of the chemical treatment composition. Preferably, the wetting agent is an alcohol. More preferably, the wetting agent is an

aliphatic alcohol such as Isopropanol. Typical examples of suitable wetting agents include Nonionic Ethylene Ether Condensates, such as Dexopal 555, or Aqueous Cationic Non-rewetting surfactants solutions, such as Mykon NRW-3.

For those cases where antimicrobial properties are desired, an antimicrobial agent is added to the chemical treatment to reduce the growth of microorganisms on the inherently flame resistant fabric. By "antimicrobial agent" is meant any substance or combination of substances that kills or prevents the growth of microorganisms, and includes antibiotics, antifungal, antiviral and antialgal agents. The antimicrobial agent can be either a "leaching" antimicrobial agent or a "molecularly bonded" antimicrobial agent. Leaching antimicrobials work by leaching or moving from the surface of the fabric to contact and kill a nearby microorganism. Molecularly bonded antimicrobial agents work by remaining affixed to the fabric and kill the microorganism as it contacts the surface to which the bonded antimicrobial has been applied. See White et al., "*A Comparison of Antimicrobials for the Textile Industry*", www.microbeshield.com.

The antimicrobial agent to be added to the chemical treatment composition preferably comprises about 0.2% to about 2.0 % by weight of the chemical treatment composition. More preferably, the antimicrobial agent comprises about 0.48 % by weight of the chemical treatment composition. Typical examples of suitable antimicrobial agents include Ultrafresh DM-25, an octhilinone or Bioshield AM 500, an organosilane. Preferably, the antimicrobial agent is a "molecularly bonded" antimicrobial agent. More preferably, the antimicrobial agent is an organofunctional silane. Even more preferably, the antimicrobial agent is an organosilane composition comprising about 16 % by weight of chloropropyltrihydroxysilane and about 84% by weight of Octadecylaminodimethyltrihydroxysilylpropyl Ammonium Chloride, available from Aegis under the trademark AEM 5700™.

For those cases where fluid or soil repellent properties are desired, a fluid or soil repellent agent is added to the chemical treatment composition to improve the fabric's water repellency and the fabric's resistance to staining. Also, a combination fluid repellent/soil resistant agent may be added to the chemical treatment composition. Preferably, in the present invention, the fluid repellent agent and soil

- resistant agent is added as a combination fluid repellent/soil resistant agent. More preferably, the fluid repellent/soil resistant agent is a fluorochemical. Even more preferably, the fluid repellent/soil resistant agent is a fluorochemical available from Dupont under the trademark ZONYL 7040™. The combination fluid repellent/soil
- 5 resistant agent to be added to the chemical treatment preferably comprises about 2% to about 10 % by weight of the chemical treatment composition. More preferably, the fluid repellent/soil resistant agent comprises about 3.6 % by weight of the chemical treatment composition.

- The chemical treatment may be applied by various methods known in the art,
- 10 such as by spraying, dipping or pad application. In a preferred embodiment, the chemical treatment is applied to the scoured fabric using a pad applicator. The pressure of the squeeze rollers is controlled to achieve a wet pick-up of between about 25 % and about 60% of the chemical treatment. Preferably, the pressure of the squeeze rollers is controlled to achieve a wet pick-up of approximately 45% of the
- 15 chemical composition. The chemically treated fabric is then dried through exposure to between about 320° F and 420° F for between about 20 seconds and 60 seconds in a hot air oven. Preferably, the fabric is dried through exposure to 380° F for 30 seconds in a hot air oven.

20 EXAMPLE 1

- The following is a description of one preferred method of the present invention. By way of example, an inherently flame resistant fiber, such as that marketed by Kosa, Inc., trademarked as AVORA™, is woven into a fabric and treated
- 25 with a chemical composition comprising an antimicrobial agent, a fluid repellent agent, a stain resistant agent and a flame retardant such that the chemically treated fabric has a flame resistance substantially similar to untreated inherently flame resistance fabric.

- In Example 1, large-scale plant trials were conducted. The AVORA™
- 30 inherently flame resistant fibers were made into a woven fabric having the properties in Table 1 was first scoured with an aqueous detergent prior to the application of

chemical treatments. After thoroughly rinsing the scoured fabric, it was dried in a hot air oven.

Table 1:

Warp Yarn:	150/60 SD RD TEXT SET AVORA FR POLYESTER				
Fill Yarn:	2/150/60 SD RD TEXT SET AVORA FR POLYESTER				
Ends/inch:	60	Picks/inch:	38	Weight:	5.5 osy

A chemical treatment composition was then prepared in an aqueous-based solution comprising 0.96 % by weight of Isopropanol, 4.8 % by weight of Flame Retardant 50, 3.6 % by weight of ZONYL 7040™ and 0.48 % by weight of AEM 5700™ as seen in Table 2.

Table 2:

Chemical Name	General Description	Treatment	Amount (% weight)
Isopropanol	Aliphatic Alcohol	Wetting Agent	0.96 %
Flame Retardant 50	Cyclic Phosphonate	Flame Retardant	4.8 %
ZONYL 7040™	Fluorochemical	Fluid/Stain Repellent	3.6 %
AEM 5700™	Organosilane	Antimicrobial	0.48 %

The chemical treatment composition was applied to the scoured AVORA™ fabric using a pad applicator. The pressure of the squeeze rollers was controlled to achieve a wet pick-up of approximately 45% of the chemical treatment composition. The fabric was then dried through exposure to 380 F for 30 seconds in a hot air oven. After drying, the finished fabric was tested according to standard published test protocols to assess its properties. These properties are summarized in Table 3.

Table 3:

Characteristic	Test Method	Units	Results
Flammability	NFPA 701 - 1996 Edition	% Weight Loss & Afterburn Time	Warp -22.4 % Afterflame < 2 sec. Passed
			Fill - 29.7% Afterflame < 2 sec. Passed
Fluid Repellency	AATCC Test 22	Spray Rating	100
Presence of Antimicrobial agent	Bromo Blue Internal PFG	Pass/Fail	Pass

As summarized in Table 3, the post-weave chemical treatment that includes the Flame Retardant 50 has a flame resistance substantially similar to the untreated inherently flame resistance fabric. It is thought that the presence of the flame retardant in the chemical coating composition may kinetically drive the inherent flame retardant to remain chemically bound within the polyethylene terephthalate chain of the polyester fibers. The fluid repellency has an excellent spray rating resistance. Finally, the test for the presence of the antimicrobial agent also passed.

EXAMPLE 2

In Example 2, another large-scale plant trial was conducted. The AVORA™ inherently flame resistant fibers were made into a woven fabric having the properties in Table 1 was first scoured with an aqueous detergent prior to the application of chemical treatments. After thoroughly rinsing the scoured fabric, it was dried in a hot air oven.

Table 4:

Chemical Name	General Description	Treatment	Amount
Isopropanol	Aliphatic Alcohol	Wetting Agent	8 lbs/100 gal mix
ZONYL 7040 TM	Fluorochemical	Fluid/Stain Repellent	30 lbs/100 gal mix
AEM 5700 TM	Organosilane	Antimicrobial	4 lbs/100 gal mix

The chemical treatment composition in Table 4 was applied to the scoured fabric using a pad applicator. The pressure of the squeeze rollers was controlled to achieve a wet pick-up of approximately 45% of the chemical treatment composition. The fabric was then dried through exposure to 380 F for 30 seconds in a hot air oven.

Table 5:

Characteristic	Test Method	Units	Results
Flammability	NFPA 701 - 1996 Edition	% Weight Loss & Afterburn Time	Warp – 17.1 % Afterflame > 2 sec. Failed
			Fill – 24.1% Afterflame < 2 sec. Failed

After drying, the finished fabric was tested according to standard published test protocols to assess its flame resistance properties. As summarized in Table 5, the post-weave chemical treatment in Table 4, which omits the Flame Retardant 50, failed the NFPA 701 - 1996 Edition flame resistance test. (The fill data is not required for NFPA 701 test)

As taught by the Kosa, “AVORATM FR” publication, the inherently flame resistance properties of the AVORATM fabric degrade after a post-weave chemical treatment. However, the present inventor has found that if a flame retardant is added during the chemical treatment coating process, the fabric retains a flame resistance substantially similar to untreated inherently flame resistance fabric.

EXAMPLE 3

- In Example 3, a small-scale lab trial was conducted. The AVORA™ inherently flame resistant fabric having the properties in Table 1 was first scoured with an aqueous detergent prior to the application of chemical treatments. After thoroughly rinsing the scoured fabric, it was dried in a hot air oven.

- The chemical treatment composition in Table 6 was applied to the scoured AVORA™ fabric using a pad applicator. The pressure of the squeeze rollers was controlled to achieve a wet pick-up of approximately 45% of the chemical treatment composition. The fabric was then dried through exposure to 375 F for 1 minute in a hot air oven. After drying, the finished fabric was tested according to standard published test protocols to assess its flame resistance properties.

- 15 Table 6:

Chemical Name	General Description	Treatment	Amount
ZONYL 7040™	Fluorochemical	Fluid/Stain Repellent	30 g/400 mL mix
Characteristic Tested	Test Method	Units	Results
Flammability	NFPA 701 - 1996 Edition	% Weight Loss & Afterburn Time	Warp - 20.9 % Afterflame < 2 sec. Passed
			Fill - 27.3% Afterflame < 2 sec. Passed

- Several further small-scale lab trials were then conducted. The lab procedures followed were identical to that in Example 3. The only differences between Examples 4-8 were the types of chemical treatments applied. The treatments for each Example in 4-8 are summarized in Tables 7-11. The results of the NFPA 701 - 1996 Edition flame resistance tests are also summarized in Tables 7-11.

EXAMPLE 4

Table 7:

Chemical Name	General Description	Treatment	Amount
AEM 5700™	Organosilane	Antimicrobial	4 g/400 mL mix
Characteristic Tested	Test Method	Units	Results
Flammability	NFPA 701 - 1996 Edition	% Weight Loss & Afterburn Time	Warp – 17.9 % Afterflame < 2 sec. Passed
			Fill – 22.0 % Afterflame < 2 sec. Passed

5

EXAMPLE 5

Table 8:

Chemical Name	General Description	Treatment	Amount
Flame Retardant 50	Cyclic Phosphonate	Flame Retardant	40 g/400 mL mix
Picosoft CHP		Softener	20 g/400 mL mix
Characteristic Tested	Test Method	Units	Results
Flammability	NFPA 701 - 1996 Edition	% Weight Loss & Afterburn Time	Warp – 20.4 % Afterflame < 2 sec. Passed
			Fill – 27.0 % Afterflame < 2 sec. Passed

10

EXAMPLE 6

Table 9:

Chemical Name	General Description	Treatment	Amount
AEM 5700™	Organosilane	Antimicrobial	4 g/400 mL mix
ZONYL 7040™	Fluorochemical	Fluid/Stain Repellent	30 g/400 mL mix
Picosoft CHP		Softener	20 g/400 mL mix
Characteristic Tested	Test Method	Units	Results
Flammability	NFPA 701 - 1996 Edition	% Weight Loss & Afterburn Time	Warp – 23.7 % Afterflame < 2 sec. Passed
			Fill – 26.0 % Afterflame < 2 sec. Passed

5

EXAMPLE 7

Table 10:

Chemical Name	General Description	Treatment	Amount
ZONYL 7040™	Fluorochemical	Fluid/Stain Repellent	30 g/400 mL mix
Flame Retardant 50	Cyclic Phosphonate	Flame Retardant	40 g/400 mL mix
Characteristic Tested	Test Method	Units	Results
Flammability	NFPA 701 - 1996 Edition	% Weight Loss & Afterburn Time	Warp – 25.7 % Afterflame < 2 sec. Passed
			Fill – 28.2 % Afterflame < 2 sec. Passed

10

EXAMPLE 8

Table 11:

Chemical Name	General Description	Treatment	Amount
AEM 5700™	Organosilane	Antimicrobial	4 g/400 mL mix
Flame Retardant 50	Cyclic Phosphonate	Flame Retardant	40 g/400 mL mix
Characteristic Tested	Test Method	Units	Results
Flammability	NFPA 701 - 1996 Edition	% Weight Loss & Afterburn Time	Warp – 28.2 % Afterflame < 2 sec. Passed
			Fill – 24.7 % Afterflame < 2 sec. Passed

5

While not wishing to be bound by any particular theory, after summarizing the small-scale experiments in Tables 7-11, it was thought that the addition of flame retardant to the chemical treatments does not harm the flame resistant properties of untreated inherently FR fibers and may, in some cases with heavy chemical loading, assist in kinetically driving the inherent flame retardant to remain chemically bound within the polyethylene terephthalate chain of the polyester fibers. But, it is not a requirement for the present invention to require the addition of flame retardant to the desired chemical treatment in order for the treated fabric to have an equal flame resistance to the untreated inherently FR fibers. Therefore, the present invention, unlike the prior art teachings, has unexpectedly found that the addition of chemical treatments to inherently FR fibers, has substantially equal flame resistance as compared to untreated inherently FR fibers.

20

EXAMPLE 9

- Another inherently resistant fiber, Trevira CS is similar to AVORA, the two fibers having previously been available as European and American versions of the product from the same manufacturer, which has recently been divided into two separate organizations, each selling its own inherently FR fiber.

Accordingly, Trevira CS fibers was also tested in a woven fabric having the following construction:

10	<u>Warp</u>		<u>Filling</u>	
	Denier	165	Denier	165
	Filaments	64	Filaments	64
	X-section	trilobal	X-section	trilobal
	Luster	bright	Luster	bright
15	Textured	no	Textured	no
	Fiber	polyester	Fiber	polyester

Without finishing the fabric had these NFPA 701 Burn Test Results:

	% Weight Loss	Afterburn < 2 sec.
Warp	9.3 %	Afterflame < 2 sec. Pass
Fill	10.9%	Pass

- 20 An additional sample of Trevira CS of the same construction was finished with:

Chemical Name	General Description	Treatment	Amount
ZONYL 7040	Fluorochemical	Fluid/Stain Repellent	30 g/400 mL
AEM 5700	Organosilane	Antimicrobial	4 g/400 mL

The fabric was dried through exposure to 375° F for 1 minute in a hot air oven. The pad pressure was 6 psi and the air flow set at 100%.

The finished fabric was tested with these results:

Characteristic Tested	Test Method	Units	Results
Flammability	NFPA 701-1996 Edition	% Weight Loss & Afterburn Time	Warp – 12.6 % Afterflame < 2 sec. Passed
			Fill – 10.4 % Afterflame < 2 sec. Passed
Fluid Repellency	AATCC Test 22	Spray Rating	100
Presence of Antimicrobial agent	Bromo Blue Internal PFG	Pass/Fail	Pass

- 5 It should now be understood by those skilled in the art that the amounts and ratios of the chemical treatment compositions as well as the type of treatment desired may be varied depending on the desired result of the chemical coating treatment. It should also be understood that all such modifications and improvements have been deleted herein for the sake of conciseness and readability but are properly within the
- 10 scope of the following claims.